

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 03/10/2004 10/796,287 Klaus Lidolt 03100198AA 9372 30743 08/23/2005 **EXAMINER** WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. ALI, SHUMAYA B 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190 3743

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Soo
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/796,287	LIDOLT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shumaya B. Ali	3743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	March 2004.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are:		•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		ion No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
application from the International Burea		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.
	·	
Attachment(s)		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: detailed action.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/796,287 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, and failing to further limit the parent claims due to improper form. Accordingly, the claims 5-8 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Stark et al. US Patent 5,052,375.

2. **As to claim 1, Stark et al. disclose** an orthopedic aid (fig1, 2) with two parts (fig.2, 6aa, 6ab) which are movable relative to one another (two parts are hinged, fig.4, 20b) and with a locking device () for locking the two parts in a predetermined relative position and for unlocking the parts in order to permit movement of the parts with respects to one another ("locking" and "unlocking" are considered inherent function of the control manual 10 which extends or flexes the two parts, therefore allowing extension would

prevent or lock flexion and vice versa), wherein the locking device can be actuated electromechanically (via 10, figs.1,4,12) from a control module (10; figs.1,4,12), and an actuating signal can be sent by wireless transmission from an actuating unit to the control module, **as to claim 2** wherein the actuating unit is integrated into a walking aid (fig.1, 2) (fig.2 seem to disclose the actuator unit is secured to the walking aid, therefore considered integrated),

3. As to claim 3, Stark et al. do not disclose wherein the actuating unit is accommodated in a handgrip of the walking aid, and as to claim 4 do not disclose wherein an actuating button is arranged on a free end face of the handgrip. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to alternate the location of the actuating unit for meeting patient's need and comfort.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 5-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-6 have not been further treated on the merits.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon: US 5,103,807 discloses electronic control system used with an orthopedic aid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shumaya B. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6088. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shumaya B Ali

Examiner Art Unit 3743

Supervisory Patent Exerciner